Public Works ([illegible] 7) Depar[illegible]t.

G.O., No. 239 / 240 } 9

DATED 27th May 1907.

120/3 copies of the G.O.
30/2 copies of the Inst with docket at the back for Government of India.
40 copies of notes 30 to be stitched with the G.O.
40 copies 35 Indt for [illegible] 07
30 cords.

The Notes
in this G.O. have been printed.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Inspection Bungalow with outhouses at Kuruvanath, Madura Dt.

An Estimate, amounting to Rs 3936, for constructing an ——— , sanctioned with remarks. And a copy of the Proceedings ordered to be forwarded to the Govt. of India for information.

Inspection Bungalow with outhouses at Kuruvanath, Madura Dt. Forwarding to the Govt. of India a copy of the Pros: sanctioning an Estimate amounting to Rs 3936 for constructing an —

[illegible] / [illegible]

35 [illegible] 20/7/08
Registered

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Recd.	1907.	*Enclosures*
Regd		*Spare copies*

G.O., Nos. 239, 240 I., 27th May 1907.

Inspection Bungalow with out-houses at Kuruvanath, Madura district

Forwarding to the Government of India a copy of the Proceedings sanctioning an estimate, amounting to Rs. 3,936, for constructing an—.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following papers :—

I

Memorandum No. 2281, dated 25th June 1906.

The Inspector-General of Irrigation having, with justice, animadverted on the poor accommodation provided at Kuruvanuth, the Superintending Engineer is requested to report whether the Collector concurs in the opinion that a better bungalow is required.

2. It is observed that the list printed with G.O., No. 1119 W., dated 18th May 1906, contains no remarks as to the number of times this shed has been in use. As there are presumably no records, an approximation should, if possible, be given.

3. In the event of sanction being obtained to the construction of a new camp shed, it is believed that a more suitable site has already been selected nearer to the village of Gudalur.

T. W. S. SMYTH,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

II

Letter—from S. B. MURRAY, Esq., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
To—the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
Dated—Trichinopoly, the 7th September 1906.
No.—528 C.E.

With reference to your Memorandum No. 2281, dated 25th June 1906, I have the honour to forward herewith copies of letters from the Collector of Madura and the Executive Engineer, Madura, which give you the information you ask for.

ENCLOSURES.

Letter—from M. YOUNG, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Madura.
To—the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
Dated—the 13th July 1906.
No.—D. Dis. 2201/Rev.

With reference to your letter No. 2339, dated 30th June 1906, I have the honour to inform you that I agree with you as to the necessity for the construction of a good bungalow near Kuruvanuth, and that I would recommend its location, if possible, a little further from the foot of the hills than the present site, since that is feverish.

Letter—from A. R. deChazal, Esq., Executive Engineer, Madura Division.
To—the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
Dated—the 2nd September 1906.
No.—672-S.E.

With reference to your No. 2340, dated 30th June 1906, I have the honour to state that the number of times the Kuruvanuth shed was in use during the past three years is noted in the margin. These figures do not, in my opinion, give any idea of the number of times that this bungalow was occupied, as it is well known that very few Public Works Department officers took the trouble to enter their names in the bungalow book when occupying the bungalow. The average number of times this bungalow was occupied is certainly well over 60 times a year.

	NO.
1903	5
1904	41
1905	59

2. I cannot find from my records anything about the estimate for the new bungalow having been sent to the Collector or to you for approval; though this must have been done, I believe, two or three years ago. Mr. H. T. Keeling pointed out to me a site on a hill close to the road about a mile this side of the present bungalow, as the site which he had selected for the new bungalow.

III

Memorandum No. 3621, dated 1st October 1906.

With reference to his letter No. 528 C.E., dated 7th September 1906, the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, is requested to be so good as to submit, for sanction, plans and estimate for an inspection bungalow at Kuruvanuth.

2. The value of the existing bungalow should also be stated.

T. W. S. Smyth,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

IV

Letter—from S. D. Pears, Esq., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
To—the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
Dated—Trichinopoly, the 9th November 1906.
No.—679 C.E.

In reply to your memorandum No. 3621, dated 1st October 1906, I have the honour to inform you that a design for an inspection bungalow at Kuruvanuth has been prepared in this office and forwarded to the Executive Engineer, Madura division, for completion of estimate.

2. As regards the value of the existing building, I have the honour to state that there are no records to show the theoretical value of the building, but the Executive Engineer, Madura division, reports that about Rs. 150 to Rs. 200 might be realized by the sale of the materials available.

V

Letter—from S. D. Pears, Esq., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
To—the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
Dated—Trichinopoly, the 22nd March 1907.
No.—198 C.E.

In continuation of this office No. 679/C.E., dated 9th November 1906, I have the honour to forward herewith, for your approval and sanction of Government an estimate amounting to Rs. 3,200 for constructing an inspection bungalow with out-houses at Kuruvanuth, Madura district.

2. The Collector of Madura to whom a reference was made on the subject has in his D. Dis. No. 2201-Rev., dated 13th July 1906, given his opinion in favour of constructing the bungalow.

3. In the report attached to the estimate, the details of the works proposed are fully explained.

4. As the site proposed for the bungalow is Government waste, no provision has been made in the estimate for land compensation.

5. The work should be classed as unremunerative and charged to the open capital account of the Periyár Project. It will be completed before 31st March 1908.

ENCLOSURE.

Report to accompany the estimate for constructing an inspection bungalow at Kuruvanath on way to Periyár Head Works, Madura District.

	RS.
Amount of estimate	3,200

The existing bungalow is built of mud, with a thatched roof close to a village which has been pronounced by the Sanitary Commissioner to be unhealthy. The Inspector-General of Irrigation remarked on the condition of this bungalow in his inspection notes for March 1906. The cost of repairs to the out-houses and the main building is excessive, the woodwork is not in good order, the doors and windows are made of unsawn wood, roughly squared with badly fitting planks. The bungalow is so notoriously unhealthy that no officer who can help it stays in it.

It is proposed to rebuild it on a high piece of ground nearly a mile north of the present shed on the left hand side of the road as one goes from Uttamapálaiyam to Thekkadi. The new site has been chosen by the late Superintending Engineer Mr. Murray and the design has been made by the same officer.

Main building.—The foundations for the main building will be 3½ feet deep, of which the bottom 2 feet depth will be of concrete. The foundations above the concrete, the basement and superstructure will all be of rubble stone in mortar pointed outside and plastered inside. The flooring will be of concrete plastered with cement ½ inch thick. The doors and windows will be of teakwood painted with two coats of chocolate paint. The roof frame works and pillars of verandah will be of venteak wood oiled. The roof will be of ordinary flat and pan tiles with chunam borders on teak reepers.

3. The doors and windows of the main hall will be glazed. The doors of the bath rooms will be battened and the windows glazed with opaque glass. The doors and windows which are 3 feet and under in width will have only one shutter, the windows of the bath room will be placed with their sills 4′ 6″ above the floor.

4. *Out-houses.*—The out-houses are of the ordinary character and require no special remarks.

5. The site selected is Government poramboke and no provision for land compensation is made in this estimate.

6. The data statement for principal items is attached.

A. R. DECHAZEL,
Executive Engineer, Madura Division.

VI

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

In his note printed with G.O., No. 702 I., dated 27th June 1906, the Inspector-General of Irrigation remarked on the poor accommodation provided in the rest-house at Kuruvanath and suggested that measures be taken for constructing a proper inspection bungalow at the place. The Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, was accordingly asked to report whether the Collector of Madura approved of the suggestion and how many times the present rest-house was used in previous years. With his letter No. 528 C.E., dated 7th September 1906, the Superintending Engineer forwarded copies of correspondence from the Collector and Executive Engineer, showing that the former officer agreed as to the necessity for the proposed building and that the existing rest-house was, on the average, occupied more than 60 times a year. The Superintending Engineer was, thereupon, asked to submit an estimate for the proposed work and to state the approximate value of the existing one. He now submits an estimate of Rs. 3,200 for the new bungalow and reports that there are no records to show the value of the old building but that about Rs. 150—250 might be realised by

the sale of materials. It is stated that as the site of the existing shed is considered unhealthy, the new bungalow with out-houses is proposed to be built at a suitable site nearly a mile to the north of the former site.

2. The estimate is recommended for sanction.

3. The work is classed as "unremunerative" and will probably be completed by 31st March 1908.

4. The Superintending Engineer will be asked to report the actual amount realized by the sale of the materials of the old building and also the account head to which the old building was charged.

H. E. CLERK,
Ag. Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

18th May 1907.

Order—No. 239 I., dated 27th May 1907.

The following estimate is sanctioned :—

Imperial.
Capital expenditure not charged to Revenue.
49. Irrigation.
Periyár Project.
Open Capital Account.
I. Works.
1. Head Works.
K. Buildings.
Unremunerative Work.
Inspection bungalow with out-houses at Kuruvanath.

	RS.
Estimate—	
I. Works	3,200
II. Establishment	736
Total ...	3,936

2. This sanction is registered as No. 35 I. of 1907–1908 and will expire on 31st March 1908.

3. The attention of the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, is drawn to paragraph 4 of the foregoing note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

4. Ordered that a copy of these proceedings be forwarded to the Government of India for information.

[Here enter No. 240 I., dated 27th May 1907.]

(True Extract.)

H. E. CLERK,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, with copy of notes.
,, Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with plans and estimate.
,, Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Endorsement—No. 240 I., dated 27th May 1907.

Forwarded.

(By order.)

H. E. CLERK,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Government, P W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
(IRRIGATION BRANCH).

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., Nos. 239–40 I., DATED 27TH MAY 1907.

[SUBJECT.—*Inspection bungalow at Kuruvanath, Madura District.*]

From the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 7th September 1906, *No.* 528 *C. E.*

Under Secretary—

The Inspector-General of Irrigation having suggested * that measures should be taken for constructing a proper rest-house at Kuruvanath, the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, was requested in this office memorandum No. 2281, dated 25th June 1906, to report whether the Collector agreed in the opinion that a better bungalow was required and the number of times the present bungalow had been in use.

* *Vide* paragraph 14 of the note by the Inspector-General of Irrigation on the extension of Irrigation under the Periyar Project printed with G.O., No. 702 I., dated 27th June 1906.

In his letter quoted above, the Superintending Engineer forwards copies of the correspondence on the subject, from which it would appear that the Collector considers that a good bungalow near Kuruvanath is necessary, but that he recommends its location a little further from the foot of the hills. The Executive Engineer's letter forwarded by the Superintending Engineer shows the number of times the present bungalow was in use during the past three years.

As regards the site for a new bungalow Mr. deChazel states that Mr. Keeling pointed out to him a site which he had selected on a hill close to the road about a mile this side of the present bungalow.

Orders are requested if, under the circumstances, the Superintending Engineer may be asked to submit an estimate for a bungalow at the site selected by Mr. Keeling.

T. W. S. SMYTH.
21–9–06.

M.A.
19–9–06.

Submitted to the Hon'ble Mr. Stokes, C.S.I., for approval of the proposal to call for an estimate for new rest-house at Kuruvanath.

I have had occasion to make use of the present building several times during the five years 1901 to 1906 and can personally testify to the extreme discomfort of the present shed and to the necessity for a new one at a better site. In addition to the Madura district officials, the bungalow is used by Travancore officials and planters going to, and from, Peermaad and is in my opinion very necessary.

The Collector's opinion in support of the proposal is given in his letter No. D. Dis. No. 2201, Revenue, dated 13th July 1906.

C. A. SMITH.
22–9–06

Very well. Call for a plan and estimate and state the value of the existing bungalow.

H.C.
O

G. S[TOKES].
24-9-06.

Draft Memorandum.

T.W.S.S.

C.A.S.
25-9-06.

[Issued as Memo. No. 3621, dated 1st October 1906.]

From the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 9th November 1906, No. 679 C.E.

Under Secretary—

The Superintending Engineer now states that a design for an inspection bungalow at Kuruvanath was prepared in his office and sent to the Executive Engineer, Madura Division, for completing the estimate. It is also stated that there are no records to show the value of the existing building, but that about Rs. 150—200 might be realised by the sale of materials.

The current may, it is submitted, lie over pending receipt of the estimate.

M.A.
12-11-06.

Lie over.

T.W.S.S.
13-11-06.

From the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 22nd March 1907, No. 198 C.E.

Under Secretary—

With his letter quoted above, the Superintending Engineer submits an estimate amounting to Rs. 3,200 for constructing an inspection bungalow with outhouses at Kuruvanath.

Orders are requested whether the estimate may be checked for sanction.

S.A.V.
28-3-07.

S.D.
28-3-07.

T.W.S.S.
28-3-07.

Yes *at once*; but I think we ought to have a two roomed bungalow here. Any how this as it stands will be a great improvement on the old one.

C.A.S.
28-3-07.

The estimate has been checked a specification of the building is given in the report. From the latter part of Superintending Engineer's remarks it seems that the bungalow as submitted may be passed.

A

M.V.
3-5-07.

S.B.
6-5-07.

Under Secretary—

A draft order sanctioning the estimate is submitted and may, if approved, be circulated to His Excellency.

M.A.
10-5-07.

A | The Chief Engineer for Irrigation's remarks were rather indefinite; file should have come to me again for more definite orders.

T.W.S.S
13-5-07.

I should like some minor alterations made in the plan as shown in red pencil. Door at B should be blocked and one opened at A. This will enable the room to be used as matey place when one officer occupies the bungalow. The door ways at CC should be converted to windows. They are no use as doors. The building should face south unless there is any strong reason to the contray.

H. E. CLERK.
14-5-07.

Chief Engineer for Irrigation—

Alterations carried out.

T.W.S.S.
18-5-07.

Circulated to His Excellency the Governor.

H.E.C.
18-5-07.

Approved.

A. L[AWLEY].
20-5-07.

Under Secretary—

The proposal to construct an inspection bungalow at Kuruvanath has been approved by the Hon'ble Mr. Stokes.—*Vide* page 2 of the notes. For orders if the draft order should now be circulated to him or may issue.

G.V.
21-5-07.
B.G.R.
21-5-07.

Issue.

T.W.S.S.
21-5-07.

[Issued as G.O., Nos. 239-40 I., dated 27th May 1907.]

T.W.S.S.
13-5-07.

R#2035 Estimate of Rs 3200 for an inspection bungalow at Kuruvanath, Periyar Project.

CURRENT No. 4883
PASSED ON BY
R. C.
U. R. C.
REF.
R. R.
D. O.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
IRRIGATION BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

To the Supg. Engineer, VI Circle, No.2281 dated 25-6-06.
From ---------------do------------ ,, 528 C.E., dated 7-9-06.
To ----------------do------------ ,, 3621 dated 1-10-06.
From ---------------do------------ ,, 679 C.E., dated 9-11-06.
From ---------------do-------------, 198 C.E., dated 22-3-07.

Print
Print Report

Note by the Chief Engineer
for Irrigation.

In his note printed with G.O., No.702-I, dated 27th June 1906, the Inspector General of Irrigation ~~havi~~ remarked on the poor accommodation provided in the rest-house at -- Kuruvanath and suggested that measures b[e] taken for constructing a proper -- inspection bungalow at the place. Th[e] Supg. Engineer, VI Circle, was -- accordingly asked to report whether the Collector of Madura approved of the suggestion and how many times t[he] present rest-house was used in previ[ous] years. With his letter No.528 C.E., dated 7-9-06 the Supg. Engineer - forwarded copies of correspondence from the Collector and Executive - Engineer, shewing that the former - officer agreed as to the necessity [of] the proposed building and that the

2

existing rest-house was, on the - average, occupied more than 60 times a year. The Supg. Engineer was, - thereupon, asked to submit an estimate for the proposed work and to state the approximate value of the existing one. He now submits an estimate of Rs 3,200 for the new bungalow and - reports that there are no records to show the value of the old building but that about 150-250 Rs might be realised by the sale of materials. It is - stated that as the site of the - existing shed is considered unhealthy, the new bungalow with out-houses is proposed to be built at a suitable site nearly a mile to the north of the former site.

2. The estimate is recommended for sanction.

3. The work is classed as -- "unremunerative" and will probably be completed by 31st March 1908.

4. The Supg. Engineer will be asked to report the actual amount realised by the sale of the materials of the old building and also the - account head to which the old -- building was charged.

Order. No. 2309, 8-27-5-1907

Irrign acct

The following estimate is - sanctioned:-

Imperial.

Capital Expenditure not charged to Revenue.

49 Irrigation.

Periyar Project.

12 P

Open Capital Account.

I Works.

1 Head Works.

K Buildings.

Unremunerative work.

Inspection bungalow with out-houses

at Kuruvanath.

Estimate - I Works. ------ 3,200

II Establishment. 736

Total. 3,936

Fo 20.5.07.

2. This sanction is registered as No. 35 — I of 1907-08 and will expire on 31st March 1908.

3. The attention of the Supg. Engineer, VI Circle, is drawn to para 4 of the foregoing Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

4. Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be forwarded to the Govt. of India for ~~sanction~~. information

Endorsement.

No. 240 I, & 27-5-1907

Forwarded.

DESPATCHED 24 JUN 1907

13/5/07. 18/5/07

To

The Chief Engr. for Irrign) with copy of Notes

,, Supg. Engr. VI Circle, with plans & estimate.

,, Examiner, P.W. Accounts.

DESPATCHED 21 JUN 1907

Ordinary Press.

Print Notes

Ro —— 1 — 13 800

Evol— 1 - 7

22